UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/665,770	09/19/2003	Pankaj Jay Pasricha	D6475	6393
Benjamin Aaroi	7590 06/03/200 n Adler	EXAMINER		
ADLER & ASSOCIATES			KIM, JENNIFER M	
8011 Candle Lane Houston, TX 77071			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/03/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/665,770	PASRICHA, PANKAJ JAY			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jennifer Kim	1617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on April	7. 2008.				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7-9 and 16-18</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 10-15</u> is/are rejected.					
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau		٩			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	a.			
Attachment(s) 1) \(\sum \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Intonia O	(PTO 442)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)	atent Application			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· 				

Application/Control Number: 10/665,770 Page 2

Art Unit: 1617

2008 has been entered.

DETAILED ACTION

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on April 7,

Action Summary

The rejection of claims 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chiesi et al. (WO 00/06132A2) evidenced by Basu et al. (U.S.2002/0025348A1) is being **maintained** for the reasons stated in the previous Office Action.

The rejection of claims 1-6 and 15 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chiesi et al. (WO 00/06132A2) in view of Basu et al. (U.S.2002/0025348A1) is being **maintained** for the reasons stated in the previous Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

States.

Claims 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chiesi et al. (WO 00/06132A2) evidenced by Basu et al. (U.S.2002/0025348A1) of record.

Chiesi et al. teach that pharmaceutical formulation for the treatment of inflammatory bowel disease containing as an active ingredient, beclomethasone dipropionate (BDP). (abstract). Chiesi et al. teach that the formulation demonstrates no systemic absorption of BDP and its major active metabolites. (page 14, Example 5). Chiesi et al. teach the amount of BDP to be employed includes 3mg and 5mg. (page 3, lines 9-11 and page 8-9 Examples 1 and 2).

Basu et al. report that IBS (irritable bowel syndrome) also tends to occur in IBD (inflammatory bowel disease) patients who are in remission from their IBD symptomologies. (page 1, [0009], last full sentence).

Accordingly, claim 10 drawn to "a method of alleviating the symptoms of irritable bowel syndrome in an individual in need of such treatment" is anticipated by the prior art as evidenced by Basu et al. because Basu et al. report that IBS tends to occur in IBD patients who are in remission from their IBD symptomologies. Therefore, the IBD patients disclosed by Chiesi et al. are the patients in need of treatment of irritable bowel syndrome because IBS tends to occur in IBD patients. Further, the mechanism of action of increasing the threshold of pain to colorectal distention, thereby alleviating the symptoms of irritable bowel syndrome in the individual would be inherent in Chiesi's method of treating inflammatory bowel disease comprising identical patients

Art Unit: 1617

having IBD who are in need of alleviating the symptoms of irritable bowel syndrome as evidenced by Basu et al.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-6 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chiesi et al. (WO 00/06132A2) in view of Basu et al. (U.S.2002/0025348A1) of record.

Chiesi et al. teach that pharmaceutical formulation for the treatment of inflammatory bowel disease containing an active ingredient, beclomethasone dipropionate (BDP). (abstract). Chiesi et al. teach that the formulation demonstrate no systemic absorption of BDP and its major active metabolites. (page 14, Example 5). Chiesi et al. teach the amount of BDP to be employed includes 3mg and 5mg. (page 3, lines 9-11 and page 8-9 Examples 1 and 2).

Basu et al. teach that the pathogenesis of inflammatory bowel disease and related disorders such as irritable bowel syndrome involve inflammation. (page 2, [0014]). Basu et al. teach that acute enteric inflammation is symptoms generated in irritable bowel syndrome (page 2, [0015]). Basu et al. teach that reduction in inflammatory agents would significantly effect the treatment of inflammatory disease like irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) and inflammatory bowel disease (IBD). (page 2, [0015]).

Basu et al. teach that by treating inflammation, hyperalgesia potentate by the inflammatory factors would be prevented. (page 2-3, [0015]). Basu et al. report that inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) and irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) are related. (page 1, [0003]). Basu et al. report that IBS also tends to occur in IBD patients who are in remission from their IBD symptomologies. (page 1, [0009], last full sentence).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ beclomethasone to an individual having irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) for the treatment of such disorder because beclomethasone is effective for the treatment of inflammatory bowel disorder related to inflammation and because irritable bowel syndrome is an inflammatory disorder as taught by Base et al. One would have been motivated to make such modification in order to achieve an expected anti-inflammatory effect of beclomethasone to halt inflammation process generated as a symptom of irritable bowel syndrome. It is noted that 5mg amount employed by Chiesi et al. is within the mg/kg recited in claims 6 and 15 when the subject to be treated weigh 50kg. Thus, the claims fail to patentably distinguish over the state of the art as represented by the cited references.

None of the claims are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed February 8, 2008 have been carefully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant essentially argues that irritable bowel syndrome

Page 6

Art Unit: 1617

(IBS) and IBD are distinct disorders. However, it is the Examiner's position that although, the histopathological changes may be different, it still does not change the relevant teaching of the prior art (Basu et al.) that IBS and IBD are inflammatory disease that is treatable with anti-inflammatory agents that halt an inflammatory process. In this case there is a great overlap in the population to be treated "patient in need thereof" because IBD patients disclosed by Chiesi et al. are the individuals in "need" of treating symptoms of irritable bowel syndrome as evidenced by Basu et al. who teach that IBS tends to occur in IBD patients. Applicant argues that the NIH website teaches that there is no link between irritable bowel syndrome and inflammatory bowel disease. However, this argument will not be addressed because the reference which relies upon is not on record. Thus, the claims fail to patentably distinguish over the state of the art as represented by the cited references.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-0628. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 6:30 am to 3 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

Art Unit: 1617

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jennifer Kim/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1617

Jmk May 30, 2008